

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/585,039	Applicant(s) CANRIGHT ET AL.	
	Examiner JEFFREY NICKERSON	Art Unit 2442	

All Participants:

(1) JEFFREY NICKERSON.

(2) Thomas Schneck (24518).

Date of Interview: 19 June 2009

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 1:45pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Nickerson presented a proposed examiner amendment, as seen in the attached Examiner's Amendment, to replace the remaining claims. Applicant authorized the entry of the proposed examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)